AIMS-PSA : A Software for Integrating Various Types of PSAs

Authors: Sang Hoon HAN, Ho-Gon-LIM, Joon-Eon YANG Representer: Seung-Cheol JANG

> Integrated Safety Assessment Division Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute

Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Integration of Event Trees and Fault Trees
- 3. Integration of Various PSA Scopes
- 4. Development of AIMS-PSA
- 5. Conclusion

1. Introduction

- With the increased use of probabilistic safety analysis (PSA) in regulatory decision making, more accurate and integrated risk information are requested.
- Even though several PSA SW tools have successfully supported a limited scope of PSA, it is difficult to integrate all scopes of PSA.
 - The approaches applied to each scope of PSA are basically different each other
 - There are some barriers in substituting a lot of manual works for an automatic quantification to integrate all scope of PSA.
- The development of AIMS-PSA has an aim to provide a method and tool to automatically integrate all scopes of PSA.

2. Integration of Event Trees and Fault Trees

- ♦ A Typical PSA
 - A lot of event trees and fault trees
 - 20 ETs result in 200 sequences
 - 20 FTs are linked to each sequence
 - Quantification for each sequence
 → 200 times quantification → Slow Quantification
 - Manually build a lot of similar fault trees
 - break the circular logics between system fault trees
 - handle different conditions for one system
 - Different models for the PSA and the risk monitor





2.1 New Quantification Method using One Big Fault Tree Model

- One big fault tree Generated
 - Each sequence converted into a FT
 - A dummy event for representing a sequence number is added
- in Quantification
 - Solve NOT gate using 'delete term operation'
 - Delete the duplicated nonsense cut sets between sequences (created due to the sequence number events)
- One Time Quantification of the big FT
 - Total CDF & Cut Sets
 - → CDF for Each IE & Each Sequence



2.2 Circular Logics

Most PSA has Circular Logics in the Fault Tree



Analytic Solution of Circular Logic

- Yang's Algorithm
- Convert a Fault Tree which has Circular Logics into a Logically Equivalent Fault Tree which has no Circular Logic
- Implemented in the Cut Set Generation Engine FTREX





2.3 Condition Gate

- Typical PSAs
 - One system FT can be used in several places with minor changes
 - Most PSAs develop another FT for these cases
- New Gate Type Introduced
 - A Gate can have the conditioning events
 - AIMS-PSA automatically restructures the FT incorporating the conditioning events
 - It saves the effort to develop & maintain the PSA model

SA[a] = SA(FLAG[A]-F = False, FLAG[A]-T = True)



FT Models

SA System FT

with Condition A SA[A]

С

List of False

Events

GFA-F

False Event

FLAG[A]-F

SA System FT

List of The

Events

GFA-T

True Event

FLAG[A]-1

One FT for SA

System

SA

condition

2.4 Summary : Integration of ET/FT

Unique Features

- Quantification using One Big Fault Tree Model
- Automatic Solution of Circular Logics
- Condition Gates
- One Model for PSA and Risk Monitor
- Save the Effort for PSA modeling and quantification
 - 20 50% for Level-1 Full Power Internal PSA Model
 - 3400 gates .vs. 6700 gates
 - One Time Calculation for a PSA
 - Few seconds for UCN34 Level-1 PSA Model

3. Integration of Various PSA Scopes

- Typical External & Shutdown PSA
 - Different Data & Analysis Method with Level-1 Internal PSA
 - A lot of manual works
- Each Scope of PSA Integrated
 - Based on Level-1 Internal PSA Model
 - Tabularize Information in each PSA scope
 - Builds a model for each PSA scope
 - ➔ Minimize the effort to prepare the model



3.1 Level-2 PSA

Integration of the Level-1 & 2 PSA

- LERF fraction/frequency table is the interface for Level-1 & 2
- Automatically Build the One Big Fault Tree for the LERF
- PDS Frequency Table is transferred to the Level-2 PSA

PDS vs. LERF Fraction Table

An Example of the LERF Model

PDS #	LERF Fraction
01	1.00
03	2.09E-02
22	6.48E-02



3.2 External PSA

- Automatically Build an External Model using
 - Internal PSA Model and
 - Hazard Information Table & Specific Model
- Easy and Fast Modeling and Quantification
 - Enables the analyst to concentrate on the External Specifics
 Modified by Software







3.3 Shutdown PSA

Use the Condition Gate

- to incorporate the component's state changed for each POS compared to the full power model
- Minimize the effort to build the shutdown PSA model
- Easy to Build and Maintain the model
 - Enables the analyst to concentrate on the shutdown Specifics

One Top Model for a POS



4. Development of AIMS-PSA

- Simple Analysis following the Work Flow
- Just Click few Buttons to Produce the Results



5. Conclusion

- AIMS-PSA
 - Save the effort for PSA modeling & quantification
 - Save the number of gates
 - 20-50% for Level-1 full power internal PSA model : 3400 gates .vs. 6700 gates
 - Minimize the Effort for Modeling Various PSA Scopes compared to the Traditional PSA Softwares.
 - Easy and Useful tool for the inexperienced users as well as the PSA experts
 - The User Interface is designed to follow the Work Flow
 - Easy to review & reproduce the PSA



Thank You for Your Attention



Appendix

Quantification of Event Sequences (FTREX) to Utilize One Top Model Method

- Procedures to solve sequences
 - Step 1 : solve each sequence
 - Step 1.1 : Generate cut sets for a sequence where success branches are excluded
 - Seq-3' = %IE * GSC * GFBL
 - Step 1.2 : Delete nonsense cut sets from the cut sets obtained in the step 1 using the 'delete term operation'
 - Seq-3'' = Seq-3' / GFW
 - Step 2 : Delete nonsense cut sets in sequences
 - Si' = Si // Σ Sj, (j < i)
 - Si'' = Si' / Σ Sj, (j > i)



Notation

- Si is the result of Steps 1 for the i-th sequence, (a sequence having larger i is located in the lower part of an event tree)
- A / B is to delete any cut set in A if the cut set is a superset of a cut set in B. (Delete Term Operation)
- A // B is to delete any cut set in A if the cut set is a proper superset of a cut set in B

Analysis for Component Out of Service (AIMS-PSA)

- Analysis of Component OOS
 - A Feature of the Risk Monitor
- Support PSA Analysts to Perform the Case Studies for the Risk Monitor
- Option for OOS Component
 - Set the Pi = 1, or
 - Set the Event as True
- Option for CCF treatment
 - No Treatment for CCF Event
 - Set Value for a CCF Event = CCF Factor

CDF 1.886e-05	CDF 2.734e-03	5		Rel	Calculate Show CutSet
005	Run/Sta	andby	Result		Options
Type Component Select Component AFWS CCWS CCWS CVCS ECWS EPS ESWS HPSI HVAC IAS MFWS MSS RCS SDS SGBDS SIT	C Train C System 3441E-IN01C 3441E-IN01D 3441E-MC01C 3441-E-MC01C 3441-E-MC01D 3441M-HE01A 3441M-HE01B 3441M-P01B 3441M-P01B 3441SI-V0124 3441SI-V0124 3441SI-V0124 3441SI-V0124 3441SI-V0124 3441SI-V0144 3441SI-V0144 3441SI-V0435 3441SI-V0446 3441SI-V0447 3441SI-V0447 3441SI-V0455 3441SI-V0515 3441SI-V055 3441SI-V0555 3441SI-V0635		 Oos C 3 C 4 Re 	: Compor 93-DG01 441M-PP	nent E DIA

00	Run/S	standby
System	Component	State
▶ ccw	CCW HX 01A	Running
CCW	CCW HX 01B	Running
CCW	CCW HX 02A	Standby
CCW	CCW HX 02B	Standby
CCW	CCW MP 01A	Running
CCW	CCW MP 01B	Running
CCW	CCW MP 02A	Standby
CCW	CCW MP 02B	Standby
CVCS	CVCS Charging PP01	Running
CVCS	CVCS Charging PP02	Standby
CVCS	CVCS Charging PP03	Standby
CVCS	CVCS Charging PP04	Backup

	Page	00S Component	Base Value	New Value	Date
►	Base	3591-DG01B , 3593-DG01E	1,314e-05	2,36e-05	2005-07-02 오후 6:21:50
	Base	3441M-PP01B	1,314e-05	1,901e-05	2005-07-02 오후 6:26:21
	Simple-No Recovery		1,886e-05	1,886e-05	2005-07-04 오전 9:17:29
	Simple-No Recovery	3441M-PP02A	1,886e-05	5, 765e-05	2005-07-04 오후 4:36:34
	Simple-No Recovery	3593-DG01E , 3441M-PP01A	1,886e-05	2, 734e-05	2005-07-04 오후 4:37:09



AIMS-PSA : Results

Select			💳 Cut Set Comparison						
%U3-GTRN And Mark Event Select 3.9 Selected Only 10	Cut Set	Compar	ison	ison elatio rbed	Result Load (on 🔽 Same 🔽 Absorb	Cut Sets for Comp GCDF-U3 Compare 2 Cut S	varison Quit		
No Value F-V Accu BE#1 BE#2 BE#	#3 BE#4	BE#5 BE#6		,]				I	
1 4.730e-{ 0.005: 0.005 %U3-GTRN MTC RPN	MCF #GATWS-34		No BE# 1	BE# 2	BE# 3		No BE# 1	BE# 2	BE#3
2 4.710e-{ 0.005: 0.010 %U3-GTRN MFMPM07P SDC	OPHEARLY AFPPWALL	#GGTRN-26	VU3-LOOP	EGDGW01ABET	NR-AC11HR -		1 %U3-LOFW	SDOPHEARLY	AFPPWALL
3 3.320e-{ 0.003t 0.013 9	-08		2 %U3-LOFW	AFPPWALL	SDOPHE-LOFW		2 %U3-LOOP	EGDGW01ABET	NR-AC11HR
4 2.734e-{ 0.002 0.016 9 Total Cut Set		#GGTRN-26	3 %U3-GTRN	MFMPM07P	SDOPHEARLY		3 %U3-LOOP	SDOPHEARLY	AFPPWALL
5 2.408e-{ 0.002ť 0.019 9		SDOPHLATE-DI #GGT	4 %U3-LOOP	AFPPWALL	SDOPHE-FW		4 %U3-LSSB-SG1	AFCVO1049B	SDOPHEARLY
6 2.016e-{ 0.002: 0.021 %U3-GTRN EDIRK01CD MX0	OPHMSHR #GGTRN-07		5 %U3-RVR				5 %U3-LSSB-SG2	AFCVO1048A	SDOPHEARLY
7 9.586e-(0.001(0.022 %U3-GTRN MFMPS07P SD0	OPHEARLY AFPPWALL	#GGTRN-26	6 %U3-LSSB-SG2	AFCVO1048A	SDOPHE-FW		6 %U3-GTRN	MFMPM07P	SDOPHEARLY
8 9.436e-(0.001(0.023 %U3-GTRN SDOPHEARLY AFF	PPWALL MFISAMV093	#GGTRN-26	7 %U3-LSSB-SG1	AFCVO1049B	SDOPHE-FW		7 %U3-LSSB-SG1	AFTPS02AB	SDOPHEARLY
9 9.436e-(0.001(0.024 %U3-GTRN SDOPHEARLY AFE	PPWALL MEISAMP07P	#GGTRN-26	8 %U3-LSSB-SG2	AFTPS01BA	AFLVT0035AA		8 %U3-LSSB-SG2	AFTPS01BA	SDOPHEARLY
10 9.436e-(0.001(0.025 %U3-GTRN SDOPHEARLY AFE	PPWALL MFISAMV058	#GGTRN-26	9 %U3-LSSB-SG1	AFTPS02AB	AFLVT0038BB		9 %U3-RVR		
	····	1	10 %U3-LOOP	EDBYW125DC	NR-AC1HR		10 %U3-LOOP	EDBYW125DC	#SBO-33
			11 %U3-LOCCW	MFMPM07P	SDOPHEARLY		11 %U3-LSSB-SG2	AFMPS01AA	AFTPS01BA
Name Ty Desc	Mean C Lamda La	Tau T Facto	12 %U3-LSSB-SG2	AFMPS01AA	AFTPS01BA		12 %U3-LSSB-SG1	AFTPS02AB	AFMPS02BB
%U3-GTRN H General Transient Occurs	0.946 4 0.946 y	0	13 %U3-LSSB-SG1	AFTPS02AB	AFMPS02BB		13 %U3-LSSB-SG2	SDOPHEARLY	AFLVT0035AA
AFPPWALL B QUADRUPLE CCF(DEMAND) OF ALI	0.00005 0 0.00005	0	14 %U3-LSSB-SG2	AFLVT0035AA	AFLVT0036BA		14 %U3-LSSB-SG1	SDOPHEARLY	AFLVT0038BB
MFMPM07P B MFWS S/U FWP 07P UNAVAILABLE	0.0254 0 0.0254	0	15 %U3-LSSB-SG1	AFLVT0038BB	AFLVT0037AB		15 %U3-LOOP	AFOPHALTWT	EGDGK01ABET
SDOPHEARLY B OPERATOR FAILS TO PERFORM F:	0.276 0 0.276	0	16 %U3-LOOP	MSOPHEVADV-2	EGDGW01ABET		16 %U3-LOCCW	MFMPM07P	SDOPHEARLY
			17 %U3-LSSB-SG2	ATAVO010A	AFLVT0035AA		17 %U3-LSSB-SG2	MSAVO110A	SDOPHEARLY
			110 MUD LCCD CC1	MCAUCIOD			10 MUD LCCD CC1.	AT AUCOCOD	
L CUE tor Initiating Evanta					<u> </u>	Line and the second sec			· · · ·

CDF for Initiating Events

	-													
	ĪE			IE F	Freq	CDF		%	CDP	Cut Set				
►	%U3-LO	OP		.000	De-0:)71e-I	2.58	28	902e-C	3098				
	%U3-LS	SB-	SG1	.50	.500e-0: 958		1.3570		560e-C	469				
	%U3-LS	SB-	SG2	.50	.500e-0: 958		8e-i 1.357		560e-C	469				
	%U3-LO	CC	N	.280	ΙF		ISe	ear.	ience	IE Freq	CDE	%	CDP	Cut Set
	%U3-SG	TR	-SG1	.550	 %U3	R-LOEV	V #(GL C	DEW-04	.400e-0	225e-1	0.0101	198e-I	10
	%U3-SG	TR	-SG2	.550	.55		V #0	GL C	DEW/-05	.400e-0	194e-1	0.0003	302e-	2
	%U3-GT	RN		.460	.460%113-17		V #0	GL C)EW-0	11000 0	1010.	0.0000	1020	<u> </u>
	%U3-LO	FW		.400 %U3-L0		2-LOEV			CDF	for S	Seau	enc		
	%U3-LO	r			,,,,,,							JUQU		
	%U3-LO		rus (@PD)					6	70 20 2059	400e-0	997e-f	0.0002	758e-I	7
	%U3-RV	-	(C)	S-LER	LERE-36 350e			- '	5 2953	400e-0	137e-1	0.0100	587e-I	1
	%U3-SL		@PD	S-LER	RF-16	.89	.0000 0. 896e-0:		2.8661	400e-0	638e-1	0.0007	303e-	2
	%U3-LO		0			1.00			99	400e-0	796e-1	0.0003	3296-	2
			0	LE	RF	for	P	C	S 17	400e-0	313e-f	2 5229	7540-1	1085
			0.			-,	Jeo		0.2058	.400e-0	139e-f	0.0451	724e-i	99
		@PDS-LERF-12 .19		.19	0e-0'		0.2167	400e-0	785e-*	0.0052	394e-i	4		
		@PDS-LERF-15 .311		1e-1)		0.0823	1.1000-0.	p.030 .	0.0002	10101				
			@PD:	S-LER	(F-03	.97	6e-1)		0.0789					
			@PD:	S-LER	(F-01	.09	4e-1		0.0702	Acc	000	mon		ivici
			@PD:	<u>B-LER</u>	(F-19	.61	<u>9e-1</u>		0.0358	H22	233	men		11121

Event Importance Duelee **___**

LVEIT				1 2		1/1//00	INA00		mbaum		
HSIS/	MV0698	.482e	-0 00	0005	1.	000005	1.006 5.920e-08		920e-08		
HSIS/	MV0699	.482e	-0 00	0005	1.	000005	1.006 5.920e-08		920e-08		
HSLV.	T0659A	.844e	-0 09	09479 1.00957		009570	6.131	4.	713e-05		
Sys	Comp		Desc	=		FV	RRW		RRW 95	RAW	Balancing RAW
ESWS	3462 <u>5X</u>	-V102	ESW	/ TRN	I-B	0000C	1.00000	1	1.000007	5.97	5.97
HPSI HPSI	3441 3441	Con	npo	one	nt	t Imp	oortar	າດ	ce & U	ncerta	linty
HPSI	3441SI-	·V011(HPS	I INJ.	LII	00131	1.00131	3	1.003566	501.81	6.78
HPSI	3441SI-	·V012(HPS	I INJ.	LII	00131	1.00131	3	1.003931	501.81	6.78
HPSI	3441SI-	·V013(HPS	I INJ.	LII	00131	1.00131	3	1.003544	501.81	6.78
HPSI	3441SI-	·V014(HPS:	I INJ.	LII	00131	1.00131	3	1.003645	501.81	6.78
HPSI	3441SI-	V0205	СТМ	IT. SI	JM	00069	1.00069	1	1.002131	58.67	3.92
HPSI	3441SI-	-V020t	CTM	IT SU	IMF	0007E	1.00077	0	1.002181	59.02	4.25
HPSI	3441SI-	V021.	HPS	I Inj.	lin	00220	1.00220	6	1.005004	925.76	9.95
HPSI	244101-	V022.	LDC	T INT	lie	00220	1.00220	6	1.005005	025.76	0.05

DDW

DATAL Directory

CCF

Factor

5.97

39.62

39.68

6.83

6.83

6.83

6.83

4.07

4.42

10.78

10.79

CRAW

95

21.62

91.08

94.50

14.49

14.69

14.28

14.23

9.19

9.66

18.86

19.97

Component Importance (AIMS-PSA : Result)

Component Importance

- Importance for Grouped Events
- Fussell-Vesely Importance
- Risk Reduction Worth
- Risk Achievement Worth
- Uncertainty Analysis of Component Importance
 - Monte Carlo Simulation
 - 5%, 50%, Mean, 95%, 99%

3 Kinds of RAWs

- Basic RAW : too Conservative
 - Pi = 1 for every Event included in a Component
- Balancing RAW
 - RAW = 1 + [(1-P)/P] * FV, (P = ΣPi)
- CCF Factor Adjusting RAW
 - Pi(Independent Event) = 1
 - Pi(CCF Event) = CCF Factor

Comp	E\/	RR	W	RAW (C	CCF = 1)	Balacing	g RAW	CCF Factor RAW	
comp	IV	RRW	95%	RAW	9 5%	BRAW	95%	CRAW	95%
HPSI PUMP-1	0.0327	1.034	1.099	1028.7	2487.2	8.9	25.5	39.6	91.1
HPSI PUMP-2	0.0327	1.034	1.094	1028.8	2492.4	8.9	26.9	39.7	94.5
HPSI Pump Disch. CV SI-404	0.0038	1.004	1.010	507.1	1233.9	17.6	62.8	18.0	41.9
HPSI Pump Disch. CV SI-405	0.0038	1.004	1.011	507.1	1235.1	17.6	59.9	18.1	42.4
Sump Iso. MOV SI-675	0.0006	1.001	1.001	13.6	33.7	1.3	1.8	1.6	2.3
Sump Iso. MOV SI-676	0.0009	1.001	1.002	14.0	34.2	1.5	2.1	2.0	3.5

