PSAM-9, 5.18 – 23, 2008, Hong Kong

Use of PSA and Safety Performance Assessment in Improving Regulatory Inspection System

 $E \models mc$

Dae-Wook CHUNG and Hoon-Ju LEE

Korea Institute of Nuclear Safety (KINS)



CONTENTS

- 1. Introduction and Background Information
- 2. Risk-Informed Regulation Implementation Plan in Korea
- 3. Improving Regulatory Inspection Program
- 4. Conclusions



1. Introduction and Background Information

History and Current Status of PSA

- PSA has been one of licensing documents for new NPP since 1989
- Severe accident policy statement announced in 2001, recommending
 - At least level 2 PSA be performed for all operating NPP by 2006
 - SAMP be developed and implemented for all NPP by 2006
- Efforts to Incorporate Risk and Performance Information into Current Regulatory Program
 - R&D for over 10 years by both regulator and licensee since 1996
 - A series of regulatory guides and methodologies developed
 - Several pilot applications



1. Introduction and Background Information

Establish 1st Stage KINS RIR Implementation Plan

- Consisting of 4 Areas and 12 action items (See next slide)
- Prepared by RIR task force team in 2005 and implemented since 2006
- Considering existing regulatory environment and technical capability of both licensee and regulator
- To be completed in 2008
- Improving Regulatory Inspection Program
 - Key action item in RIR implementation plan
 - 3-step approach developed and under implementation
- Preparing 2nd Stage Implementation Plan
 - To be implemented beyond 2009
 - Considering technical capability, applicability, consensus and need of nuclear society in Korea



2. Risk-Informed Regulation Implementation Plan in Korea

Implementation Items

Areas	Items
A. Regulatory Inspection	A.1 Risk-Informed and Performance-based Inspection A.1.1 Risk-Informed Periodic Inspection (RIPI) A.1.2 Graded Periodic Inspection (GPI)
B. License Amendment	B.1 Risk-Informed Change of STI/AOT B.2 Risk-Informed In-service Inspection for Piping (RI-ISI)
C. Technical Basis	C.1 Monitoring of Maintenance Effectiveness C.2 Risk Monitoring System (RM)
D. Infra-structure	D-1 PSA Standards and Quality D-2 Reliability DB D-3 General Guidance for the Use of Risk Information
	D.4 Regulatory PSA Model (MPAS)
	D.5 Training Program for Regulatory Staff D.6 Regulatory Decision-making Process D.7 Legislation and Rule-making



Three step approach





Three Step Approach

- 1st Step : Risk-Based Inspection (RBI) Program
 - Developed and 2 times of pilot implementations done at full plant level in 2005 by NSC decision
 - Inspection items are selected based on risk significance
 - Inspection details developed considering risk and performance information
 - Inspection resources are allocated depending on risk significance



Result in the improvement of safety (See next slide)

- Used as key input to develop the 2nd step RIPI program



Effectiveness of Risk-Based Inspection Application



AFWS Unavailability after Inspection



• <u>2nd Step : Risk-Informed Periodic Inspection (RIPI) Program</u>

- Improve current periodic inspection program by incorporating RBI inspection items into relevant periodic inspection item
- Inspection resources for each inspection item redistributed considering the risk significance and performance information (See next slide)
- RIPI program has been implemented (and will be implemented) as regulatory inspection program for all 20 operating NPP since 2006
- Key improvements focused on the prevention (or minimization) of highly risk significant
 - Common cause failures
 - Post-accident operator errors (i.e., EOP performance)
 - * Root cause of independent failures



Main Idea for RIPI Program

Selection of Inspection Details and Inspection Hours for Each Inspection Item



Final Step : Graded Periodic Inspection (GPI) Program

- To be implemented in 2010
- Determine safety grade of each NPP based on the result of integrated safety performance assessment (ISPA) program (See next slide)
- Inspection items and resources for each NPP will be increased or decreased depending on the safety grade of each NPP
- GPI Program will be implemented in parallel with RIPI program
- Contents of ISPA program
 - Risk significance of inspection findings
 - Risk assessment of operational accident/event
 - Risk-informed performance indicators
 - Maintenance effectiveness monitoring program
 - Assessment of licensee program
 - Risk monitoring results during power operation
- Others (if necessary)





Transition in Regulatory Inspection Program.





4. Conclusions

- A comprehensive RIR implementation plan (1st stage) has been underway 2006, to be completed in 2008
- Also, the 2nd stage implementation plan beyond 2009 is under preparation
- In order to improve current regulatory inspection program systematically and consistently, three-step approach has been developed and is being implemented
 - The development and pilot implementation of 1st step RBI program at plant level
 - The 2nd step risk-informed periodic inspection (RIPI) program in progress
 - The final step graded periodic inspection (GPI) program and integrated safety performance assessment (ISPA) program under development

Direct more regulatory efforts to those NPPs of poor safety performance

- This improvements are expected to contribute to improving nuclear safety
 - by re-allocation of regulatory resources based on risk significance and performance information
- In addition, both the effectiveness and efficiency of regulatory inspection activities will be improved.



 $F \neq mc$